

[28th January 1929]

(b) if so, whether any additional source of supply to the Veeranam tank has been provided for to meet this demand of the ayacut under the Vellar?

A.—(a) Yes; when the Veeranam tank surpluses.

(b) The Vadavar has been widened and the capacity of the Veeranam tank increased from 918 million cubic feet to 1,489 million cubic feet; and other improvements have been carried out for the purpose of—

(a) giving increased supply to the Veeranam tank and thence to the Shatiatope anicut system during the south-west monsoon when the Coleroon is in floods; and

(b) supplementing the supplies to the Veeranam tank from the Vellar when that river is in floods during the north-east monsoon.

*Distribution of water to the Cauvery and Coleroon rivers.*

\* 1008-D Q.—Rao Bahadur K. SITARAMA REDDIYAR: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government distribute the water at the Upper Anicut to the Cauvery and Coleroon rivers in the same proportion in which they take the combined supply needed for both the Cauvery and Coleroon ayacuts from the Kannambadi dam of the Mysore Government;

(b) if so, what is the ratio, and if not, why not;

(c) whether it is not a fact that Coleroon at the Upper Anicut was having the spill over the middle shutters in previous days and that after the introduction of the screw gearing system the Coleroon has been deprived of such an advantage; and

(d) if the answer is in the affirmative, what are the compensatory provisions that have now been made after the introduction of screw gearing system?

A.—(a) & (b) The distribution will arise only when the flow at the Cauvery dam exceeds a certain limit. If the supply thus received in the Cauvery below the Cauvery dam exceeds the requirements of the delta it will be surplused into the Coleroon through the Grand Anicut.

(c) & (d) A report has been called for.

*Investigation of the Seethapalliyeru and Pamularu projects.*

\* 1009 Q.—Mr. K. V. R. SWAMI: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state with regard to the investigation of Seethapalliyeru and Pamularu projects in East Godavari—

(a) whether the report said to have been called for by the Government in the answer to question No. 835 answered on 22nd October 1927 has been received;

(b) whether the same will be placed on the Council table;

(c) whether the staff mentioned in the answer to question No. 438 answered on 21st March 1927 was appointed; and if so, when;